

1 **Exploiting phage strategies to modulate bacterial**
2 **transcription**

3

4 Markus C. Wahl^{1,2,*}, Ranjan Sen^{3,*}

5

6 ¹ Freie Universität Berlin, Laboratory of Structural Biochemistry, Takustraße 6, D-14195
7 Berlin, Germany

8 ² Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Macromolecular Crystallography,
9 Albert-Einstein-Straße 15, D-12489 Berlin, Germany

10 ³ Centre for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics, Laboratory of Transcription, Inner Ring
11 Road, Uppal, Hyderabad-39, India

12

13 * Correspondence to: markus.wahl@fu-berlin.de; rsen@cdfd.org.in

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Bacteriophages employ small proteins to usurp host molecular machinery, thereby
17 interfering with central metabolic processes in infected bacteria. Generally, phages inhibit or
18 redirect host transcription to favor transcription of their own genomes. Mechanistic and
19 structural studies of phage-modulated host transcription may provide inspirations for the
20 development of novel antibacterial substances.

21

22 **Introduction**

23 The bacterial transcription machinery is a proven target for antibiotics [1]. Besides RNA
24 polymerase (RNAP) itself, bacteria also harbor numerous transcription regulatory factors that
25 are essential. While sequence and structural similarities exist between bacterial and
26 eukaryotic RNAPs, the molecular machinery comprising the transcription regulatory layers is
27 very distinct between the different domains of life. Indeed, only the NusG/Spt5-family of
28 transcription elongation factors appears to be universally conserved [2-4]. Thus, transcription
29 regulatory factors or transcription complexes that are modified by specific regulatory factors
30 may represent highly attractive targets for the development of novel antimicrobial substances.
31 However, as most of these factors do not possess easy-to-monitor enzymatic activities,
32 targeting this regulatory layer by traditional inhibitor screening strategies is difficult. In light of
33 an increasing number of high-resolution 3D structures of transcription factors and their
34 complexes becoming available, *in silico* screening methodologies may offer some remedy [5].

35 Bacteriophages provide large reservoirs of unique proteins that modulate diverse bacterial
36 molecular machineries to enable successful propagation of the phages [6, 7]. Many of these
37 phage-derived protein modulators do not exhibit any homology to known proteins from
38 organisms in all three kingdoms of life. Often such effector molecules target host molecular
39 machineries associated with essential metabolic pathways, eventually leading to the complete
40 shutdown of the host metabolism and killing of the host bacteria. The essentiality of host
41 molecular machinery is one of the major criteria to be considered when selecting a potential

42 drug target, and identification and characterization of novel phage effector proteins could thus
43 lead to the identification of novel, attractive drug targets in pathogens.

44 With an estimated number of more than a billion bacterial species on the planet [8] and
45 more than ten phage species on average estimated to infect each microbial species [9],
46 phages might harbor bactericidal proteins for pathogenic bacterial species in numbers that
47 dwarf the size of chemical libraries presently in use. Phages, therefore, might offer a rich
48 resource for discovering novel molecules that interfere with the metabolism of otherwise
49 difficult to control human pathogens. Indeed, Mycobacteriophage endolysins lyse the complex
50 peptidoglycans of mycobacteria [10], and the gp52 protein of mycobacteriophage Fruitloop
51 has been shown to exert its toxicity by affecting mycobacterial cell wall biosynthesis [11].
52 Recently, a mycobacteriophage genomics approach has been initiated to identify novel
53 mycobacteriophage factors with bactericidal properties [12].

54 Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are oligopeptides comprising around 5 to 50 amino acid
55 residues. Due to the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria and due to a dearth in novel
56 antibiotics, AMPs are presently garnering renewed attention [13, 14]. In addition to their
57 bactericidal activities, phage-derived protein modulators are typically small (≤ 20 kDa) [6],
58 rendering them attractive templates for designing new bactericidal peptides or
59 peptidomimetics. Detailed biochemical and structural analyses of their interactions with their
60 host targets might enable the definition of small regions of the phage proteins that embody
61 target-binding properties independent of the remaining parts of the proteins, which might be
62 further developed into effective AMPs.

63 As a general strategy, phages hijack or subvert parts of the host transcription machinery
64 [15]. In modulating host transcription, phages often target not only host RNAP but also
65 transcription regulatory factors [16, 17]. Thus, studying the structural basis of phage-derived
66 mechanisms to interfere with bacterial transcription may uncover hitherto unexplored
67 transcription-modulatory strategies and, thus, may inspire the development of novel
68 antibacterial compounds that target bacterial transcription, including the transcription
69 regulatory layer. High-resolution macromolecular structures, as can be obtained *via*

70 macromolecular crystallography, may serve as templates for medicinal chemists to devise
71 small peptides, peptidomimetics or even non-protein small molecules, which could possess
72 inhibitory prowess. Indeed, high-resolution crystal structures of a transcription factor complex
73 have recently been used to rationally design small molecules that interfere with protein-protein
74 interactions in this complex [18, 19]. Recent revolutionary developments in imaging of
75 biomacromolecular complexes at the atomic level using single-particle cryo-electron
76 microscopy (cryoEM) [20], also provide deep insights into macromolecular interactions. With
77 additional technological and computational improvements expected in the foreseeable future,
78 cryoEM may provide additional templates for inhibitor development, in particular high-
79 resolution structures of complete transcription complexes.

80

81 **Phage-mediated modulation of transcription initiation**

82 Bacteria use a conserved, multi-subunit RNAP (core subunit composition $\alpha_2\beta\beta'\omega$) to
83 transcribe their genomes [21]. The core enzyme associates with one of several σ -factors to
84 initiate transcription specifically at promoter sequences [22]. After transcription initiation,
85 RNAP forms a stable elongation complex (EC) with the DNA and nascent RNA. The EC can
86 be modified by transcription elongation factors, such as N-utilization substance (Nus) A or G
87 [23]. RNAP dissociates from the template only in response to certain signals, called
88 terminators [24]. There are two major modes of transcription termination in bacteria. In intrinsic
89 termination, a GC rich inverted repeat that forms an RNA hairpin, followed by a stretch of
90 consecutive uridylates induces RNAP to pause and subsequently disengage from the
91 template [25, 26]. The predominant mode of factor-dependent termination relies on a
92 hexameric, NTP-dependent RNA translocase/helicase, ρ [27, 28].

93 Traditionally, transcription has been regarded to be regulated predominantly during the
94 initiation phase. Thus perhaps not surprisingly, several phage modulators of bacterial
95 transcription initiation have been discovered [29]. The study of their functional mechanisms
96 revealed an astonishing diversity of how phages can subvert the function of host σ factors.

97 For instance, enterobacterial phage T4 proteins AsiA and MotA activate phage middle genes
98 [30]. AsiA binds conserved region 4 of the primary *E. coli* σ -factor, σ^{70} , preventing its canonical
99 interaction with -35 promoter elements and enabling subsequent MotA binding. Upon binding,
100 AsiA undergoes a conformational change and engages upstream DNA [31]. MotA binds to a
101 conserved DNA element (MotA box) that replaces the -35 element in middle promoters as well
102 as to AsiA-remodeled σ region 4 [31]. Thus, AsiA and MotA cooperate to substitute for σ^{70}
103 interactions with a -35 promoter element during middle gene expression. For late gene
104 expression, T4 proteins gp33 and gp55 form a “composite” σ factor that acts in cooperation
105 with the T4 sliding clamp gp45 to recognize the single -10 elements of the late promoters [32].
106 The gp39 and gp76 proteins of *Thermus* phage P23-45 redirect host RNAP to late phage
107 genes. In the crystal structures of a gp39- [33] and gp39/gp76-holoenzyme complexes [34],
108 the globular part of p39 binds to the β flap at the base of the flap tip, while a C-terminal helix
109 interacts with σ region 4, displacing σ region 4 bound to the flap tip. As a consequence, σ
110 region 4 can no longer interact with promoter -35 regions, leading to inhibition of transcription
111 from the -10/-35 class of promoters, but not of transcription from an extended -10 class of
112 phage middle/late promoters [33]. Inhibition of transcription of host genes is supported by the
113 phage gp76 protein, which binds deep inside the active site cleft of RNAP and to a linker
114 connecting σ regions 3 and 4, obstructing accommodation of the melted template DNA strand
115 and thus hindering conversion of a closed to an open transcription initiation complex. Most
116 likely, initiation of phage genes remains efficient due to higher affinity of RNAP to extended -
117 10 regions, which may overcome gp76-mediated inhibition [34]. As another example, protein
118 P7 of *Xanthomonas oryzae* phage Xp10 directly binds to the β and β' subunits of RNAP in a
119 manner that induces σ^{70} displacement [35] and that locks the RNAP clamp in a closed
120 conformation that inhibits loading of promoter DNA into the RNAP active site cleft [36]. Finally,
121 enterobacterial phage T7 encodes its own RNAP and benefits from shutting off host RNAP-
122 dependent transcription. The gp2 protein of T7 phage binds the 1.1 domain of σ^{70} and the
123 RNAP β' subunit, thereby locking σ^{70} domain 1.1 in the RNAP active site channel [37].

124 However, it is now well established that the transcription elongation and termination phases
125 also are highly regulated by both intrinsic signals on the template DNA/product RNA as well
126 as by *trans*-acting, extrinsic protein transcription factors [38]. These signals and factors,
127 among others, cause RNAP to frequently pause during elongation, offering windows of
128 opportunity for other regulatory mechanisms to take effect [23, 38, 39], or they can modulate
129 the strength of terminators [24, 25]. Indeed, it has been the investigation of lambdoid phages
130 that led to the discovery of host-encoded transcription elongation factors, termination factor ρ
131 as well as phage-derived factors that modulate the behavior of RNAP during elongation and
132 termination [40-42].

133

134 **Phage-mediated modulation of transcription elongation and** 135 **termination**

136 Lambdoid phages are known since a long time to employ strategies that modulate host
137 RNAP pausing and termination functions during transcription of the phage genomes [40-44].
138 For example, most of these phages employ N and Q proteins to facilitate the switch from
139 immediate-early to delayed-early gene expression and to support expression of late genes,
140 respectively, during their lytic life cycles (Figure 1). To this end, N and Q proteins interact with
141 host RNAP, transcription factors, RNA and/or DNA, stably modifying ECs to confer pause-
142 and termination resistance on RNAP and thus allowing it to read through intra- and intergenic
143 terminators, even if the terminators are located far downstream of the site at which N or Q
144 originally load onto the EC (processive anti-termination) [40-44].

145

146 *Processive anti-pausing and anti-termination by N proteins*

147 N recognizes signal sequences in untranslated regions of nascent phage RNA, so-called
148 N-utilization (*nut*) sites (Figure 1), comprising a linear *boxA* element and a *boxB* stem-loop
149 structure. N binds *boxB* and RNAP and recruits Nus factors A, B, E (equivalent to ribosomal
150 protein S10) and G, building up a complex ribonucleoprotein (a “modifying” RNP) on the

151 surface of RNAP that stays associated with RNAP during the entire transcription elongation
152 process by an RNA looping mechanism [42, 43, 45].

153 Recently, the group of one of the authors (M.C.W.) and collaborators reported a crystal
154 structure of a λ N-Nus factor-*nut* RNA complex [46] and a high-resolution cryoEM structure of
155 a complete λ N-based transcription anti-termination complex (λ N-TAC), comprising RNAP,
156 template DNA, product RNA with a *nut* site, all Nus factors and the λ N protein [17] (Figure 2A).
157 N proteins are intrinsically unstructured, ~110-residue polypeptides. The structural analyses
158 revealed that in the λ N-TAC, λ N only locally adopts regular secondary structure and remains
159 highly elongated, which enables it to contact many sites on RNAP, the Nus factors and the
160 nascent RNA (Figure 2B). It thereby implements a multi-pronged strategy to suppress
161 transcription pausing as well as intrinsic and factor-dependent termination.

162 Hairpin-stabilized pausing and intrinsic termination are disfavored by multiple strategies
163 aimed at preventing pause-stabilizing or termination hairpins from invading the RNAP RNA
164 exit tunnel: λ N repositions NusA on RNAP, such that it can no longer stabilize exit tunnel-
165 invading hairpins and instead may support their unfolded state. In addition, λ N
166 conformationally remodels RNAP exit tunnel elements, and its C-terminal residues line the
167 RNA exit tunnel, constricting the tunnel and physically blocking its invasion by RNA secondary
168 structures. Moreover, λ N organizes NusA and S10/NusE regions such that they present a
169 binding site for the C-terminal domain of NusG, which is thereby sequestered and prevented
170 from supporting the activity of termination factor ρ . Furthermore, λ N and NusG line opposite
171 flanks of the upstream DNA duplex; λ N thereby apparently supports the otherwise weak
172 intrinsic DNA re-annealing and RNAP anti-backtracking activities of NusG. Most remarkably,
173 the C-terminal 25 residues of λ N traverse the RNAP active site cleft, stringing mobile RNAP
174 elements, which are repositioned during pausing and presumably also during termination [47,
175 48], together, thus keeping RNAP in an anti-paused, processive conformation (Figure 2C).

176 Notably, λ N employs diverse, short peptide segments to implement its many anti-
177 pausing/anti-termination strategies, each of which may in principle lend itself to the design of
178 novel interfering substances. The present resolution of the λ N-TAC structure (3.7 Å) most

179 likely does not suffice to serve as a reliable template for detailed modeling studies with the
180 aim to derive new modulators. Mild crosslinking [49] and/or imaging a co-transcriptionally
181 assembled complex may still offer room for improvement. Moreover, different lambdoid
182 phages encode different N proteins, which may lend themselves to even higher-resolution
183 structural analyses. Of particular interest may be a C-terminally extended N polypeptide of
184 phage H-19B [50]. Biochemical analyses by the group of one of the authors (R.S.) have
185 revealed that also H-19B N repositions NusA and prevents ρ function [51, 52], and suggested
186 that H-19B N may even directly interact with the RNAP active site region [50]. Thus, a detailed
187 structural analysis may uncover yet additional strategies of RNAP modulation in the H-19B
188 case.

189

190 *RNA exit tunnel modulation as a widespread strategy to counteract pausing and termination*

191 Structural modulation of the RNA exit tunnel and surrounding elements to prevent invasion
192 by pause/termination-enhancing RNA hairpins, as well as prevention of NusA-mediated
193 stabilization of such hairpins, appear to be widespread strategies employed by phages to
194 regulate their gene expression. Again, phages have evolved surprisingly diverse molecular
195 mechanisms to achieve these tasks. One alternative to the N-based strategy is exemplified by
196 lambdoid phage Q proteins [44, 45]. Q recognizes a Q-binding DNA element (QBE) located
197 between the -35 and -10 elements of the phage late gene promoter, which is followed by a σ -
198 dependent pause element (SDPE) and a terminator (Figure 1). Q loads onto the σ -modified,
199 paused EC and, upon pause escape, remains associated with the EC, persistently
200 suppressing RNAP pausing and termination. Recent cryoEM structures of Q-loading
201 complexes, based on the Q protein of phage 21 (Q21), revealed that two Q21 molecules
202 recognize direct repeats of the QBE [53, 54]. In the loading complex, σ remains anchored to
203 the paused EC *via* σ regions 2 and 3, but due to the presence of >10 nucleotides of initial
204 RNA, the σ region 3-4 linker and σ region 4 are displaced. Besides binding the β' dock domain
205 (occupied by σ region 4 in transcription initiation complexes) and the α_1 - β interface, the Q21
206 protomer bound at the upstream QBE (Q21_u) uses a helix and neighboring linkers to form a

207 ring-like structure (the “Q torus” [54]) around the mouth and inside of the RNA exit tunnel,
208 which extends and constricts the RNA exit tunnel. The Q21 protomer bound at the downstream
209 QBE (Q21_d) additionally contacts the β flap tip helix in a manner mutually exclusive with σ
210 region 4- β flap tip interactions in initiation complexes. A structure of the Q21-loaded complex
211 revealed that Q21_u maintains its RNAP interactions after pause escape, while σ and Q21_d are
212 displaced [54]. This structure also showed that single-stranded RNA can be threaded through
213 the Q21_u torus, while nucleation, propagation and exit tunnel penetration of RNA hairpins are
214 prevented [54].

215 P7 protein of phage Xp10 provides yet another example of exit tunnel modulation. Recent
216 cryoEM structures of P7-modified ECs without and with NusA revealed that P7 can bind
217 between a short N-terminal helix of β' , the β' dock domain and the C-terminal region of β at
218 the mouth of the RNA exit tunnel, thereby restricting the local diameter of the exit tunnel and
219 preventing accommodation of an RNA hairpin [36]. Moreover, P7 in a P7/NusA-modified EC
220 lines a concave surface of the NusA N-terminal and S1 domains [36]. Thus P7 exploits the
221 very surfaces of NusA that are normally used to stabilize exit tunnel-invading hairpins for its
222 own stable binding to RNAP, essentially converting NusA from a pause/termination-supporting
223 factor to an anti-pausing/anti-termination factor [36].

224

225 *Transcription arrest by the HK002 Nun proteins*

226 Lambdoid phage HK022 resorts to a different strategy to implement transcription anti-
227 termination for delayed-early gene expression. Here, a *cis*-acting, bi-lobed RNA structure, the
228 polymerase-utilization (*put*) site, in the untranslated regions of the phage RNA directly binds
229 to the β' Zinc-finger domain of RNAP and confers pause/termination resistance [55, 56].
230 HK022 also encodes an N-related protein, Nun [57]. Presumably due to the availability of the
231 *put* element, Nun evolved to have a diametrically opposite function to other N proteins: It
232 responds to the same *nut* sites as N and recruits the same set of host Nus factors to RNAP,
233 but induces pre-mature transcription arrest [57-59], likely to prevent super-infection by other
234 lambdoid phages. A cryoEM structure of a HK022 Nun-arrested EC has been elucidated [60].

235 Only the C-terminal 23 residues of Nun on RNAP could be imaged, the rest of the protein
236 remained unresolved due to its intrinsically unstructured nature and high flexibility in the
237 absence of the Nus factors and *nut* RNA. The structure revealed how Nun, similar to λ N,
238 inserts its C-terminal region into the interior of RNAP, but entering along a different flank of
239 upstream DNA, where no natural crevices are available to accommodate the protein without
240 distorting RNAP (Figure 2D). As a consequence, Nun distorts and displaces several RNAP
241 elements and wedges into the nucleic acid network, inhibiting nucleic acid movement inside
242 RNAP (Figure 2D). Thus, this C-terminal region of Nun provides a highly attractive template
243 for the design of novel RNAP-inhibitory substances. It will be interesting to see in the future
244 how other regions of Nun interact with the Nus factors and whether these interactions augment
245 the transcription inhibitory potential of the protein.

246

247 *Anti- ρ activity of the Psu protein*

248 Another interesting phage-derived transcription modulator is the capsid protein, Psu, of
249 enterobacterial phage P4. Psu is an antagonist of the conserved bacterial transcription
250 termination factor, ρ [16]. The group of one of the authors (R.S.) demonstrated that Psu inhibits
251 ρ ATPase activity but does not prevent the binding of RNA to ρ 's primary and secondary RNA
252 binding sites [16]. Thus, Psu presumably interferes with ρ -mediated transcription termination
253 by inhibiting 5'-to-3' translocation of ρ on the mRNA (Figure 2E). Together with collaborators,
254 the Sen lab also unraveled the crystal structure of Psu, showing that the protein adopts a novel
255 fold that supports formation of an unusual, knotted dimer [61]. Based on this structure, the
256 known structure of *E. coli* ρ and the mapping of interacting residues on Psu and ρ , a docking
257 model of dimeric Psu on a closed ρ hexamer was constructed (Figure 2F) [62, 63]. The
258 biochemical data and the docking model revealed that Psu most likely uses a C-terminal α
259 helix and neighboring residues to contact two ρ subunits on opposite sides of the ring.
260 Importantly, the Sen group demonstrated that Psu can inhibit the ATPase and transcript
261 release activities of ρ proteins from diverse pathogenic bacteria *in vitro* and that
262 overproduction of Psu was bactericidal [64]. Novel AMPs could be designed based on the C-

263 terminal helices of Psu that directly contact ρ . The rational design of Psu-derived anti- ρ
264 peptides or peptidomimetics would strongly benefit from elucidation of a high-resolution
265 experimental structure of a Psu- ρ complex.

266

267 **Conclusions**

268 Ample examples have been documented for how phages employ small proteins to target
269 all phases of transcription of their hosts. It is to be expected that with more phages being
270 discovered and studied, more such mechanisms as well as variations of known mechanisms
271 will be revealed. Notably, in many cases not only the host RNAP but also the host transcription
272 factors that regulate the various phases of transcription are key targets of the phage proteins.
273 While these transcription factors are typically not conserved in eukaryotes, they are widely
274 distributed in bacteria and in many species they are essential, rendering them “naturally
275 selected” (“chosen” by phages) drug targets. With improved techniques for molecular docking
276 and design, high-resolution structures of phage-derived transcription modulators in the course
277 of their action may provide valuable assets for guiding the rational development of novel,
278 phage-informed, transcription-targeting antibacterial substances.

279

280 **Acknowledgements**

281 We are grateful to members of our labs for critical reading of this manuscript.

282

283 **Disclosure statement**

284 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

285

286 **Funding**

287 Work in the authors' laboratories was supported by grants from the Deutsche
288 Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 740-3, SFB 958-2, RTG 2473-1 to M.C.W.) and the

289 Departments of Biotechnology (DBT) and Science and Technology (DST) (DST-SERB)
290 government of India (EMR/2015/001620 and BT/PR27969/BRB/10/1662/2018) to R.S..

291

292 **ORCID**

293 Markus C. Wahl <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2811-5307>

294 Ranjan Sen <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5693-787X>

295

296 **References**

297 [1] Ma C, Yang X, Lewis PJ. Bacterial Transcription as a Target for Antibacterial Drug
298 Development. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2016; 80:139-60.

299 [2] Yakhnin AV, Babitzke P. NusG/Spt5: are there common functions of this ubiquitous
300 transcription elongation factor? *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2014; 18:68-71.

301 [3] Tomar SK, Artsimovitch I. NusG-Spt5 proteins-Universal tools for transcription
302 modification and communication. *Chem Rev* 2013; 113:8604-19.

303 [4] Werner F. A nexus for gene expression-molecular mechanisms of Spt5 and NusG in the
304 three domains of life. *J Mol Biol* 2012; 417:13-27.

305 [5] Svetlov D, Shi D, Twentyman J, Nedialkov Y, Rosen DA, Abagyan R, et al. In silico
306 discovery of small molecules that inhibit RfaH recruitment to RNA polymerase. *Mol*
307 *Microbiol* 2018; 110.

308 [6] Drulis-Kawa Z, Majkowska-Skrobek G, Maciejewska B, Delattre AS, Lavigne R. Learning
309 from bacteriophages - advantages and limitations of phage and phage-encoded protein
310 applications. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 2012; 13:699-722.

311 [7] Nechaev S, Severinov K. The elusive object of desire--interactions of bacteriophages and
312 their hosts. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2008; 11:186-93.

313 [8] Dykhuizen D. Species Numbers in Bacteria. *Proc Calif Acad Sci* 2005; 56:62-71.

314 [9] Rohwer F. Global phage diversity. *Cell* 2003; 113:141.

315 [10] Schmelcher M, Donovan DM, Loessner MJ. Bacteriophage endolysins as novel
316 antimicrobials. *Future Microbiol* 2012; 7:1147-71.

317 [11] Ko CC, Hatfull GF. Mycobacteriophage Fruitloop gp52 inactivates Wag31 (DivIVA) to
318 prevent heterotypic superinfection. *Mol Microbiol* 2018; 108:443-60.

319 [12] Singh S, Godavarthi S, Kumar A, Sen R. A mycobacteriophage genomics approach to
320 identify novel mycobacteriophage proteins with mycobactericidal properties. *Microbiol*
321 *2019; 165:722-36.*

322 [13] Bahar AA, Ren D. Antimicrobial peptides. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 2013; 6:1543-75.

323 [14] Parai D, Dey P, Mukherjee SK. Antimicrobial Peptides: An Approach to Combat Resilient
324 Infections. *Curr Drug Discov Technol* 2019.

325 [15] Nechaev S, Severinov K. Bacteriophage-induced modifications of host RNA polymerase.
326 *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2003; 57:301-22.

- 327 [16] Pani B, Banerjee S, Chalissery J, Muralimohan A, Loganathan RM, Suganthan RB, et al.
328 Mechanism of inhibition of Rho-dependent transcription termination by bacteriophage P4
329 protein *Psu*. *J Biol Chem* 2006; 281:26491-500.
- 330 [17] Krupp F, Said N, Huang YH, Loll B, Burger J, Mielke T, et al. Structural Basis for the
331 Action of an All-Purpose Transcription Anti-Termination Factor. *Mol Cell* 2019; 74:143-57.
- 332 [18] Cossar PJ, Abdel-Hamid MK, Ma C, Sakoff JA, Trinh TN, Gordon CP, et al. Small-
333 Molecule Inhibitors of the NusB-NusE Protein-Protein Interaction with Antibiotic Activity.
334 *ACS Omega* 2017; 2:3839-57.
- 335 [19] Cossar PJ, Ma C, Gordon CP, Ambrus JI, Lewis PJ, McCluskey A. Identification and
336 validation of small molecule modulators of the NusB-NusE interaction. *Bioorg Med Chem*
337 *Lett* 2017; 27:162-7.
- 338 [20] Kuhlbrandt W. The resolution revolution. *Science* 2014; 343:1443-4.
- 339 [21] Darst SA. Bacterial RNA polymerase. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2001; 11:155-62.
- 340 [22] Helmann JD. Where to begin? Sigma factors and the selectivity of transcription initiation
341 in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* 2019.
- 342 [23] Belogurov GA, Artsimovitch I. Regulation of Transcript Elongation. *Annu Rev Microbiol*
343 2015; 69:49-69.
- 344 [24] Santangelo TJ, Artsimovitch I. Termination and antitermination: RNA polymerase runs a
345 stop sign. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2011; 9:319-29.
- 346 [25] Ray-Soni A, Bellecourt MJ, Landick R. Mechanisms of Bacterial Transcription
347 Termination: All Good Things Must End. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2016; 85:319-47.
- 348 [26] Peters JM, Vangeloff AD, Landick R. Bacterial transcription terminators: the RNA 3'-end
349 chronicles. *J Mol Biol* 2011; 412:793-813.
- 350 [27] Mitra P, Ghosh G, Hafeezunnisa M, Sen R. Rho Protein: Roles and Mechanisms. *Annu*
351 *Rev Microbiol* 2017; 71:687-709.
- 352 [28] Kriner MA, Sevostyanova A, Groisman EA. Learning from the Leaders: Gene Regulation
353 by the Transcription Termination Factor Rho. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2016; 41:690-9.
- 354 [29] Tabib-Salazar A, Mulvenna N, Severinov K, Matthews SJ, Wigneshweraraj S.
355 Xenogeneic Regulation of the Bacterial Transcription Machinery. *J Mol Biol* 2019.
- 356 [30] Hinton DM. Transcriptional control in the prereplicative phase of T4 development. *Virology*
357 2010; 7:289.
- 358 [31] Shi J, Wen A, Zhao M, You L, Zhang Y, Feng Y. Structural basis of sigma appropriation.
359 *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019.
- 360 [32] Nechaev S, Kamali-Moghaddam M, Andre E, Leonetti JP, Geiduschek EP. The
361 bacteriophage T4 late-transcription coactivator gp33 binds the flap domain of *Escherichia*
362 *coli* RNA polymerase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; 101:17365-70.
- 363 [33] Tagami S, Sekine S, Minakhin L, Esyunina D, Akasaka R, Shirouzu M, et al. Structural
364 basis for promoter specificity switching of RNA polymerase by a phage factor. *Genes Dev*
365 2014; 28:521-31.
- 366 [34] Ooi WY, Murayama Y, Mekler V, Minakhin L, Severinov K, Yokoyama S, et al. A *Thermus*
367 phage protein inhibits host RNA polymerase by preventing template DNA strand loading
368 during open promoter complex formation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018; 46:431-41.
- 369 [35] Liu B, Shadrin A, Sheppard C, Mekler V, Xu Y, Severinov K, et al. A bacteriophage
370 transcription regulator inhibits bacterial transcription initiation by sigma-factor
371 displacement. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; 42:4294-305.

- 372 [36] You LL, Shi J, Shen LQ, Li LT, Fang CL, Yu CZ, et al. Structural basis for transcription
373 antitermination at bacterial intrinsic terminator. *Nature Communications* 2019; 10.
- 374 [37] Bae B, Davis E, Brown D, Campbell EA, Wigneshweraraj S, Darst SA. Phage T7 Gp2
375 inhibition of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase involves misappropriation of sigma(70)
376 domain 1.1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013; 110:19772-7.
- 377 [38] Mooney RA, Artsimovitch I, Landick R. Information processing by RNA polymerase:
378 recognition of regulatory signals during RNA chain elongation. *J Bacteriol* 1998;
379 180:3265-75.
- 380 [39] Zhang J, Landick R. A Two-Way Street: Regulatory Interplay between RNA Polymerase
381 and Nascent RNA Structure. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2016; 41:293-310.
- 382 [40] Gottesman ME, Weisberg RA. Little Lambda, who made thee? *Microbiol Mol Biol R* 2004;
383 68:796-+.
- 384 [41] Weisberg RA, Gottesman ME. Processive antitermination. *J Bacteriol* 1999; 181:359-67.
- 385 [42] Greenblatt J, Nodwell JR, Mason SW. Transcriptional antitermination. *Nature* 1993;
386 364:401-6.
- 387 [43] Goodson JR, Winkler WC. Processive Antitermination. *Microbiol Spectr* 2018; 6.
- 388 [44] Roberts JW, Yarnell W, Bartlett E, Guo J, Marr M, Ko DC, et al. Antitermination by
389 bacteriophage lambda Q protein. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 1998; 63:319-25.
- 390 [45] Nudler E, Gottesman ME. Transcription termination and anti-termination in *E. coli*. *Genes*
391 *Cells* 2002; 7:755-68.
- 392 [46] Said N, Krupp F, Anedchenko E, Santos KF, Dybkov O, Huang YH, et al. Structural basis
393 for lambdaN-dependent processive transcription antitermination. *Nat Microbiol* 2017;
394 2:17062.
- 395 [47] Kang JY, Mishanina TV, Bellecourt MJ, Mooney RA, Darst SA, Landick R. RNA
396 Polymerase Accommodates a Pause RNA Hairpin by Global Conformational
397 Rearrangements that Prolong Pausing. *Mol Cell* 2018; 69:802-15.
- 398 [48] Guo X, Myasnikov AG, Chen J, Crucifix C, Papai G, Takacs M, et al. Structural Basis for
399 NusA Stabilized Transcriptional Pausing. *Mol Cell* 2018; 69:816-27.
- 400 [49] Kastner B, Fischer N, Golas MM, Sander B, Dube P, Boehringer D, et al. GraFix: sample
401 preparation for single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *Nat Methods* 2008; 5:53-5.
- 402 [50] Cheeran A, Kolli NR, Sen R. The site of action of the antiterminator protein N from the
403 lambdoid phage H-19B. *J Biol Chem* 2007; 282:30997-1007.
- 404 [51] Muteeb G, Dey D, Mishra S, Sen R. A multipronged strategy of an anti-terminator protein
405 to overcome Rho-dependent transcription termination. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;
406 40:11213-28.
- 407 [52] Mishra S, Sen R. N protein from lambdoid phages transforms NusA into an antiterminator
408 by modulating NusA-RNA polymerase flap domain interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015;
409 43:5744-58.
- 410 [53] Shi J, Gao X, Tian T, Yu Z, Gao B, Wen A, et al. Structural basis of Q-dependent
411 transcription antitermination. *Nat Commun* 2019; 10:2925.
- 412 [54] Yin Z, Kaelber JT, Ebright RH. Structural basis of Q-dependent antitermination. *Proc Natl*
413 *Acad Sci USA* 2019; 116:18384-90.
- 414 [55] Komissarova N, Velikodvorskaya T, Sen R, King RA, Banik-Maiti S, Weisberg RA.
415 Inhibition of a transcriptional pause by RNA anchoring to RNA polymerase. *Mol Cell* 2008;
416 31:683-94.

- 417 [56] Sen R, King RA, Weisberg RA. Modification of the properties of elongating RNA
418 polymerase by persistent association with nascent antiterminator RNA. *Mol Cell* 2001;
419 7:993-1001.
- 420 [57] Robert J, Sloan SB, Weisberg RA, Gottesman ME, Robledo R, Harbrecht D. The
421 remarkable specificity of a new transcription termination factor suggests that the
422 mechanisms of termination and antitermination are similar. *Cell* 1987; 51:483-92.
- 423 [58] Hung SC, Gottesman ME. Phage HK022 Nun protein arrests transcription on phage
424 lambda DNA in vitro and competes with the phage lambda N antitermination protein. *J*
425 *Mol Biol* 1995; 247:428-42.
- 426 [59] Hung SC, Gottesman ME. The Nun protein of bacteriophage HK022 inhibits translocation
427 of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase without abolishing its catalytic activities. *Genes Dev*
428 1997; 11:2670-8.
- 429 [60] Kang JY, Olinares PD, Chen J, Campbell EA, Mustaev A, Chait BT, et al. Structural basis
430 of transcription arrest by coliphage HK022 Nun in an Escherichia coli RNA polymerase
431 elongation complex. *eLife* 2017; 6:e25478.
- 432 [61] Banerjee R, Nath S, Ranjan A, Khamrui S, Pani B, Sen R, et al. The first structure of
433 polarity suppression protein, Psu from enterobacteria phage P4, reveals a novel fold and
434 a knotted dimer. *J Biol Chem* 2012; 287:44667-75.
- 435 [62] Ranjan A, Sharma S, Banerjee R, Sen U, Sen R. Structural and mechanistic basis of anti-
436 termination of Rho-dependent transcription termination by bacteriophage P4 capsid
437 protein Psu. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; 41:6839-56.
- 438 [63] Pani B, Ranjan A, Sen R. Interaction surface of bacteriophage P4 protein Psu required
439 for complex formation with the transcription terminator Rho. *J Mol Biol* 2009; 389:647-60.
- 440 [64] Ghosh G, Reddy J, Sambhare S, Sen R. A Bacteriophage Capsid Protein Is an Inhibitor
441 of a Conserved Transcription Terminator of Various Bacterial Pathogens. *J Bacteriol*
442 2018; 200:e00380-17.
- 443

444 **Figure Legends**

445 **Figure 1. Biological activities of lambdoid phage N and Q proteins.**

446 Scheme of part of the phage λ genome (thick black line) containing early and late control
447 regions. The sizes of regions and elements, and their positions, are not drawn to scale. Open
448 boxes with names – protein-coding regions; narrow black boxes, *nut* site DNA; black angled
449 arrows, promoters; red stop signs, intrinsic (“i”) and ρ -dependent (“ ρ ”) terminators; green
450 signs, regulatory regions active as DNA (QBE and SDPE); dark yellow boxes, *nut* regulatory
451 regions active as RNA; cyan spheres, anti-termination proteins; cyan angled arrows, sites of
452 recruitment of anti-termination proteins to ECs; dark yellow lines, transcripts. Scheme adapted
453 from [43] with changes.

454

455 **Figure 2. Molecular models of phage factors modulating host transcription elongation**
456 **and termination.**

457 (A) Single-particle cryoEM structure of a λ N-TAC [17]. RNAP subunits in surface
458 representation. Nucleic acids, Nus factors and λ N in cartoon representation. (B) λ N remains
459 highly extended in the λ N-TAC, allowing short peptides along its sequence to interact with
460 spatially widely distributed regions on nascent RNA, Nus factors and RNAP. RNAP subunit β
461 as semi-transparent surface. Rotation symbol – view relative to (A). (C) Interaction of the C-
462 terminal region of λ N with nucleic acids and various elements of RNAP in and around the
463 active site cleft (β elements: flap, FT – flap tip, protrusion, CT clamp – C-terminal clamp; β'
464 elements: zipper, lid, rudder, SW2- switch 2, dock). View as in (A). (D) HK022 Nun interacting
465 with nucleic acids and RNAP elements [60]. Same orientation of RNAP as in (D). (E) presumed
466 mode of action of Psu [16]. By inhibiting ρ 's ATPase, Psu will hinder translocation of ρ along
467 the nascent RNA towards RNAP (arrow). Red symbols – inhibition. (F) Docking model of the
468 phage P4 Psu protein interacting with *E. coli* transcription termination factor ρ [62]. (C) and
469 (D) adapted from [17].



