



OPEN

# Exchange scaling of ultrafast angular momentum transfer in 4f antiferromagnets

Y. W. Windsor<sup>1</sup>✉, S.-E. Lee<sup>1</sup>, D. Zahn<sup>1</sup>, V. Borisov<sup>2</sup>, D. Thonig<sup>3,2</sup>, K. Kliemt<sup>4</sup>, A. Ernst<sup>5,6</sup>, C. Schüßler-Langeheine<sup>7</sup>, N. Pontius<sup>7</sup>, U. Staub<sup>8</sup>, C. Krellner<sup>4</sup>, D. V. Vyalikh<sup>9,10</sup>, O. Eriksson<sup>2,3</sup> and L. Rettig<sup>1</sup>✉

**Ultrafast manipulation of magnetism bears great potential for future information technologies. While demagnetization in ferromagnets is governed by the dissipation of angular momentum<sup>1–3</sup>, materials with multiple spin sublattices, for example antiferromagnets, can allow direct angular momentum transfer between opposing spins, promising faster functionality. In lanthanides, 4f magnetic exchange is mediated indirectly through the conduction electrons<sup>4</sup> (the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction), and the effect of such conditions on direct spin transfer processes is largely unexplored. Here, we investigate ultrafast magnetization dynamics in 4f antiferromagnets and systematically vary the 4f occupation, thereby altering the magnitude of the RKKY coupling energy. By combining time-resolved soft X-ray diffraction with ab initio calculations, we find that the rate of direct transfer between opposing moments is directly determined by this coupling. Given the high sensitivity of RKKY to the conduction electrons, our results offer a useful approach for fine tuning the speed of magnetic devices.**

Lanthanides are increasingly important in technology because their 4f spin moments reach exceptionally large sizes compared to those of 3d transition metals. For applications involving ultrafast spin dynamics, however, the localized nature of 4f magnetism poses an additional challenge compared to its 3d counterpart. Highly confined to the space near their ion, the magnetic 4f electronic states generally are not conduction electrons as in the 3d case, but lie several electronvolts below the Fermi level. Therefore, 4f electrons are typically not directly optically excited. Instead, optical pulses excite the conduction electrons, which mediate the RKKY coupling between the 4f spins. In equilibrium, RKKY acts as a Heisenberg exchange, with a coupling energy expressed as<sup>5</sup>  $j \propto |I|^2 \chi$ , in which  $\chi$  is the non-local susceptibility of the conduction electrons and  $I$  is the on-site exchange integral between the 4f states and the conduction electrons<sup>6</sup>. The participation of dispersive electronic states renders RKKY exceptionally sensitive to external factors. For example, the oscillating nature of  $\chi$  is central for the large variation in magnetic ground states in the lanthanide metals<sup>5</sup>.  $\chi$  also promises new routes towards ultrafast control of the RKKY coupling between 4f moments, such as by tuning the electronic occupation near the Fermi level. Similarly, the strength of the RKKY interaction depends strongly on the on-site exchange integral  $I$ , which is determined by

the orbital overlap of the 4f and conduction electron clouds, and therefore strongly depends on the 4f occupation.


This warrants a systematic investigation into the role of 4f occupation on ultrafast magnetization dynamics. Previous attempts to address this question have focussed on ferromagnetic (FM) lanthanide metals<sup>7</sup>, which limits the comparison to three heavier lanthanides (Gd, Tb and Dy) and rules out demagnetization channels that do not involve interactions with the crystal lattice, such as the transfer of angular momentum between antiparallel spins. While reports of ultrafast 4f spin dynamics in antiferromagnets are scarce<sup>8–10</sup>, one experiment performed on an antiferromagnetic (AF) lanthanide suggested the existence of this channel, which has been proposed as a route to overcome speed bottlenecks associated with the lattice<sup>9</sup>. However, a systematic study of elemental lanthanide metals is hindered by the large variety of different crystal structures and magnetic phases they exhibit, such as spin helices and spin spirals<sup>5</sup>, further complicating a meaningful comparison.

Here, we facilitate a direct comparison of 4f dynamics under comparable conditions by studying ultrafast magnetization dynamics in a series of lanthanide intermetallic antiferromagnets with nearly identical crystal and magnetic structures all across the lanthanide series. This approach allows us to single out the influence of 4f occupation. While demagnetization timescales are found to differ by nearly two orders of magnitude between materials, the corresponding angular momentum transfer rates clearly exhibit a scaling relation known as de Gennes scaling. Our ab initio calculations identify this as transfer between antiparallel moments and show that it scales with the magnitude of the RKKY coupling between them. Our approach provides a microscopic picture of such AF angular momentum transfer, yielding insight substantially beyond phenomenological models<sup>11–13</sup>, which often do not consider this transfer channel.


We consider a series of antiferromagnets of the form LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> (Ln is a lanthanide element). These share the same crystal structure and 4f spin arrangement (with <2.5% change in lattice parameters; Supplementary Section 3), such that the only appreciable difference between them is the occupation of the Ln ions' 4f shell. Their collinear, compensated AF arrangement (Fig. 1a) exhibits a mean-field-like temperature dependence (Fig. 1b) and removes the need for considering stray fields and domain effects (Supplementary Section 1.2), which are necessary when considering ferromagnets.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry, Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society, Berlin, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. <sup>3</sup>School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden. <sup>4</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria. <sup>6</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Mikrostrukturphysik, Halle (Saale), Germany. <sup>7</sup>Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Berlin, Germany. <sup>8</sup>Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland. <sup>9</sup>Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), Basque Country, Spain. <sup>10</sup>IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain.

✉e-mail: [windsor@fhi-berlin.mpg.de](mailto:windsor@fhi-berlin.mpg.de); [rettig@fhi-berlin.mpg.de](mailto:rettig@fhi-berlin.mpg.de)



**Fig. 1 | The  $\text{LnRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  materials.** **a**, The layered crystal structure, highlighting the layer-by-layer antiferromagnetic reversal along the [001] direction (for  $\text{Ln} = \text{Sm}$  and  $\text{Gd}$ , moments lie in-plane). **b**, Temperature dependence of the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  sites' ordered  $4f$  moment in all materials, exhibiting mean-field-like behaviour. The axes are normalized by each material's saturated moment  $m_0$  and Néel temperature  $T_N$ . The data were extracted from temperature-dependent resonant magnetic X-ray diffraction experiments (Methods). The grey line is a guide for the eye representing mean-field behaviour.



**Fig. 2 | The  $4f$  magnetization dynamics probed in diffraction.** **a**, Sketch of the experimental scheme, with the scattering vector parallel to the sample's [001] crystal direction and the two pulses arriving collinearly. The graph on top shows reciprocal space scans of the (001) magnetic reflection before excitation (bright) and 100 ps after excitation (dark) using an absorbed fluence of  $F = 0.24 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$  ( $F/F_C = 2.6$ ). r.l.u. are reciprocal lattice units. **b, c**, Pump-induced changes in the antiferromagnetically ordered  $4f$  moment for  $\text{Ln} = \text{Sm}$  and  $\text{Tb}$ , respectively, representing data from both light and heavy lanthanides, highlighting the large difference in timescales and fluences. Different curves (in differently shaded color) correspond to different pump fluences: from  $F/F_C = 0.38$  to  $1.9$  for  $\text{Sm}$  ( $0.05$  to  $0.28 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$ ) and from  $F/F_C = 0.32$  to  $3.2$  for  $\text{Tb}$  ( $0.26$  to  $2.6 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$ ). **d**, Total demagnetization amplitude as a function of normalized fluence for all materials (Methods; line is a guide for the eye). **e**, Exponential time constant of the dominant (slower) drop as a function of normalized fluence. The data are normalized to  $\tau_C$ , the value at  $F_C$  (inset).  $\tau_C$  values are extracted for each compound from the best fit between all shown data and the relation  $\tau/\tau_C = \sqrt{F/F_C}$  (grey curve; Supplementary Section 5). Errors are defined in the Methods section.

As such, these materials can be regarded as a lattice of AF-ordered Ln ions in a  $\text{Rh}_2\text{Si}_2$  cage and can serve as an ideal test bed for comparing dynamics of the  $4f$  moments with varying  $4f$  occupation.

We study this AF order using resonant magnetic soft X-ray diffraction. Exclusive sensitivity to the  $4f$  moments is achieved by tuning the incoming photon energies to the Ln ions'  $M_{4,5}$  resonances ( $3d \rightarrow 4f$  excitations). The AF-ordered moment  $m$  is extracted from the intensity of the magnetic Bragg reflection (normalized

to its saturated value  $m_0$ ; Fig. 2a and Supplementary Section 1). To achieve the high temporal resolution needed for this experiment, we used ultrashort X-ray pulses produced by the femto-slicing facility 'FemtoSpeX' at BESSY II (ref. 14).

We excite the materials with 1.55 eV laser pulses, and the response is qualitatively identical in all materials: the excitation suppresses the ordered AF moment in a process that begins with a fast (subpicosecond) drop, followed by a second slower drop (Fig. 2b,c).


The fast drop accounts for a smaller fraction of the total reduction (except for Ln=Sm), and is vanishingly small for the heaviest Ln ions studied (Dy and Ho). However, quantitatively the materials' response times vary widely, ranging from  $\sim 1$  ps to over 100 ps.

For systematically comparing the behaviour we observe in the LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> family, the excitation fluence was varied. The total reduction in  $m$  scales linearly with fluence up to a material-dependent critical fluence  $F_C$ , which also varies widely between materials. We define  $F_C$  as the fluence at which the total demagnetization amplitude  $\Delta m$  reaches  $m_0/2$ . Figures 2d,e present the total demagnetization amplitude  $m/m_0$  and the dominant (slower) time constant. The data are presented as functions of normalized fluence  $F/F_C$ , and the time constants  $\tau$  are also normalized by  $\tau_C$ , their values at the critical fluence  $F_C$  (inset), demonstrating similar scaling in all materials, despite the markedly different timescales and 4f filling. Exact  $\tau_C$  values are extracted by fitting all data in Fig. 2e for each material (Methods).

Two-step demagnetization is typical for lanthanide systems<sup>11</sup>. The two timescales are understood as one process, which slows down when thermalization of the electronic and lattice degrees of freedom occurs before demagnetization is complete<sup>11,15</sup>. Such a case is expected for the large 4f moments of many lanthanides, which require more time to release their angular momentum, compared to the smaller moments in transition metal 3d systems. Nevertheless, different Ln<sup>3+</sup> ions vary appreciably in their moment sizes  $\mu_B gJ$  ( $\mu_B$ ,  $g$  and  $J$  are Bohr's magneton, the Landé factor and the total 4f angular momentum quantum number, respectively), ranging from  $0.7\mu_B$  to  $10\mu_B$ . To account for the varying moment sizes, and given the universal dynamics observed in Fig. 2, we facilitate a more direct comparison of the demagnetizations by considering angular momentum transfer rates  $\alpha$ , in units of  $\mu_B \text{ps}^{-1}$  (exact definition in the Methods). These are calculated separately for the two demagnetization steps from the total moment  $J$  (Methods), but since they both represent the same physical process, we focus on the slow step (Fig. 3a), which we clearly resolve in all compounds. We find that  $\alpha$  exhibits a linear relation to the de Gennes factor  $G = (g-1)^2 J(J+1)$ , which approximates the projection of the spin  $\mathbf{S}$  on  $\mathbf{J}$ , squared<sup>16</sup>. De Gennes scaling has been experimentally demonstrated in several 4f systems<sup>16,17</sup> for quantities including the interlayer spin turn angle<sup>17,18</sup> and magnetic ordering temperatures<sup>19</sup>, and therefore also for the strength of RKKY coupling<sup>16</sup>.

The linear relation we observe strongly suggests that ultrafast demagnetization in LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> antiferromagnets depends on the strength of RKKY coupling between antiferromagnetically aligned moments and is therefore governed by the angular momentum transfer between opposite spins. To test this, ab initio calculations of all primary RKKY couplings were performed. These predict that the interplanar coupling  $j_3$  (between antiparallel spins) indeed scales linearly with  $G$  (Fig. 3b). By contrast, the in-plane couplings  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  (Fig. 3c) do not show a clear trend with  $G$  (Supplementary Section 7).

The linear scaling in Fig. 3a does not cross the origin. This suggests a contribution from an additional angular momentum transfer channel, independent of  $G$ , and therefore independent of 4f occupancy (that is, a process that is nearly the same in all LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> materials). One such process is the dissipation of 4f angular momentum to the lattice through the conduction electrons. To analyse this, one can consider a scenario in which angular momentum transfer between opposing 4f spins is turned off. The 4f demagnetization would then depend on two processes, (1) the transfer of 4f angular momentum to the conduction electrons, and (2) its dissipation from there to the lattice. The first process is governed by on-site exchange (and therefore by  $G$ ), so one could assume that it is faster than the second process. However, since the conduction electron moment is small, it represents a bottleneck for angular momentum transfer such that process (1) is limited by the rate of process (2), and the observed 4f demagnetization would thus be limited by process (2)



**Fig. 3 | De Gennes scaling and RKKY coupling.** **a**, Experimental values of the maximal angular momentum transfer rates (explanation in main text) as a function of the de Gennes factor  $G$ . Data are shown for  $F/F_C = 0.37$  (other fluences behave very similarly). The best fit to a linear trend is presented, with a shaded area representing the error margin (slope:  $(15 \pm 2)10^{-3} \mu_B \text{ps}^{-1}$ ; offset:  $(78 \pm 14)10^{-3} \mu_B \text{ps}^{-1}$ ). **b**, Calculated RKKY coupling between the nearest antiferromagnetically aligned Ln ions, also plotted against  $G$ . The line is a guide for the eye. **c**, Sketch of an extended unit cell with the nearest RKKY couplings indicated;  $j_3$  is the interlayer coupling. **d**, Diagram depicting the flow of 4f angular momentum after excitation, in which conduction electrons mediate the flow between 4f states on antiparallel sites, as well as the flow to the lattice. Errors are defined in the Methods section.

in a similar way in all LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> materials. When the 4f spin-spin channel is turned back on, it works in parallel to process (2), so this limit is relaxed by the additional angular momentum transfer rate, leading to the linear trend in Fig. 3a. The angular momentum transfer scenario we describe is sketched in Fig. 3d. The bottleneck aspect is similar to the case of  $sp-d$  FM semiconductors<sup>20,21</sup>. Previous works have discussed another channel primarily in the context of FM systems, in which the 4f shell couples directly to the lattice<sup>7,22</sup>. Such a channel should depend on the 4f occupation and on  $G$  via the strength of spin-orbit coupling, which shows a non-monotonous dependence on  $G$  (ref. 5). While our data confirm that the dominant contribution to the angular momentum transfer rates depends on the strength of the RKKY interaction, we cannot rule out additional contributions within the scatter of the data around the line in Fig. 3a.

Our results underline the importance of angular momentum transfer directly between opposite moments, as a channel that can dominate the entire process. This is in line with reports in other RKKY-mediated systems, such as the AF phases of lanthanide metals. Notably, in metallic Dy, which harbours FM and AF phases in different temperature ranges, an efficient demagnetization channel in the AF phase was recently observed, which is absent in the FM phase<sup>9</sup>. This is understood as the RKKY-mediated spin-spin channel we discuss here, and these observations are also in line with 4f demagnetization in AF metallic Ho<sup>8</sup>. However, demagnetization in the FM systems Tb and Gd reportedly also exhibited an ultrafast channel<sup>7</sup> like AF Dy. The authors of the Dy work<sup>9</sup> concluded that

this was an extrinsic effect due to spin transport. The differences in demagnetization rates between these three isostructural ferromagnets (Gd, Tb and Dy) were therefore understood as due to different coupling strengths between the 4*f* shell and the lattice, with a particularly weak coupling for the half-filled 4*f* shell of Gd.

In conclusion, we have investigated the role of direct angular momentum transfer between spin sublattices in the ultrafast magnetization dynamics of 4*f* antiferromagnets. By a systematic comparison of the ultrafast angular momentum transfer rates with ab initio calculations, we find that the rate of this transfer channel is proportional to the magnitude of the antiferromagnetic indirect (RKKY) exchange coupling. Our findings open avenues for ultrafast control of magnetization, for example, by tuning indirect exchange coupling through manipulation of the conduction electrons via doping, voltage biasing or applied pressure, or even transiently, for example through photodoping, without affecting the magnitude of the 4*f* moments themselves. The implications of our results are not limited purely to antiferromagnets, as direct angular momentum transfer can also occur between inequivalent spins in, for example, ferrimagnets<sup>23–25</sup> or alloys<sup>26</sup> such as Gd<sub>1–*x*</sub>Tb<sub>*x*</sub>, where direct Gd–Tb angular momentum transfer was demonstrated<sup>27</sup>. Such control over angular momentum transfer rates is also essential for the design and optimization of new device functionalities, such as ultrafast all-optical switching, which has been shown to depend on angular momentum transfer between magnetic sublattices<sup>28,29</sup>. The ability to tune the demagnetization rate of selected sublattices and the transfer rate between them opens the possibility to engineer such devices, either shortening or prolonging the short-lived collective spin states that enable such effects<sup>30</sup>.

### Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-022-01206-4>.

Received: 4 August 2021; Accepted: 18 January 2022;

Published online: 24 February 2022

### References

- Dornes, C. et al. The ultrafast Einstein–de Haas effect. *Nature* **565**, 209–212 (2019).
- Boeglin, C. et al. Distinguishing the ultrafast dynamics of spin and orbital moments in solids. *Nature* **465**, 458–461 (2010).
- Eschenlohr, A. et al. Ultrafast spin transport as key to femtosecond demagnetization. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 332–336 (2013).
- De Gennes, P. G. Interactions indirectes entre couches 4*f* dans les métaux de terres rares. *J. Phys. Radium* **23**, 510–521 (1962).
- Jensen, J. & Mackintosh, A. R. *Rare Earth Magnetism: Structures and Excitations* (Clarendon Press, 1991).
- Pivetta, M. et al. Measuring the intra-atomic exchange energy in rare-earth adatoms. *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031054 (2020).
- Wietstruk, M. et al. Hot-electron-driven enhancement of spin-lattice coupling in Gd and Tb 4*f* ferromagnets observed by femtosecond X-ray magnetic circular dichroism. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 127401 (2011).

- Rettig, L. et al. Itinerant and localized magnetization dynamics in antiferromagnetic Ho. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 257202 (2016).
- Thielemann-Kühn, N. et al. Ultrafast and energy-efficient quenching of spin order: antiferromagnetism beats ferromagnetism. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 197202 (2017).
- Windsor, Y. W. et al. Deterministic control of an antiferromagnetic spin arrangement using ultrafast optical excitation. *Commun. Phys.* **3**, 139 (2020).
- Koopmans, B. et al. Explaining the paradoxical diversity of ultrafast laser-induced demagnetization. *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 259–265 (2010).
- Atxitia, U. & Chubykalo-Fesenko, O. Ultrafast magnetization dynamics rates within the Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch model. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 144414 (2011).
- Eriksson, O., Bergman, A., Bergqvist, L. & Hellsvik, J. *Atomistic Spin Dynamics: Foundations and Applications* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2017).
- Hollack, K. et al. FemtoSpeX: a versatile optical pump-soft X-ray probe facility with 100 fs X-ray pulses of variable polarization. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **21**, 1090–1104 (2014).
- Roth, T. et al. Temperature dependence of laser-induced demagnetization in Ni: a key for identifying the underlying mechanism. *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 021006 (2012).
- Elliott, R. J. (ed.) *Magnetic Properties of Rare Earth Metals* (Springer, 1972).
- Koehler, W. C. Magnetic properties of rare-earth metals and alloys. *J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 1078–1087 (1965).
- De Gennes, P. G. & Saint-James, D. Helical structures of the heavy rare earth metals. *Solid State Commun.* **1**, 62–66 (1963).
- de Gennes, P. G. Sur les propriétés des métaux des terres rares. *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **247**, 1078–1087 (1958).
- Cywiński, Ł. & Sham, L. J. Ultrafast demagnetization in the *sp-d* model: a theoretical study. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 45205 (2007).
- Wang, J. et al. Femtosecond demagnetization and hot-hole relaxation in ferromagnetic Ga<sub>1–*x*</sub>Mn<sub>*x*</sub>As. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 235308 (2008).
- Frietsch, B. et al. The role of ultrafast magnon generation in the magnetization dynamics of rare-earth metals. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabb1601 (2020).
- Hennecke, M. et al. Angular momentum flow during ultrafast demagnetization of a ferrimagnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 157202 (2019).
- Bergeard, N. et al. Ultrafast angular momentum transfer in multisublattice ferrimagnets. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3466 (2014).
- Mentink, J. H. et al. Ultrafast spin dynamics in multisublattice magnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 057202 (2012).
- Ferté, T. et al. Element-resolved ultrafast demagnetization rates in ferrimagnetic CoDy. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 134303 (2017).
- Eschenlohr, A. et al. Role of spin-lattice coupling in the ultrafast demagnetization of Gd<sub>1–*x*</sub>Tb<sub>*x*</sub> alloys. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 214423 (2014).
- Ostler, T. A. et al. Ultrafast heating as a sufficient stimulus for magnetization reversal in a ferrimagnet. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 666 (2012).
- Ostler, T. A. et al. Crystallographically amorphous ferrimagnetic alloys: comparing a localized atomistic spin model with experiments. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 24407 (2011).
- Radu, I. et al. Transient ferromagnetic-like state mediating ultrafast reversal of antiferromagnetically coupled spins. *Nature* **472**, 205–208 (2011).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022

## Methods

**Sample preparation.** Samples were single crystals of all seven materials (LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> with Ln = Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho), grown as previously described<sup>31</sup>. Due to the layered crystal structure, the sample surface is precisely perpendicular to the tetragonal [001] axis. The crystals used were approximately 1–2 mm<sup>3</sup> in size, with faces much larger than the pump and probe beam spots. Néel temperatures found in our experiments agree with literature values<sup>31</sup>: for Ln = Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy and Ho,  $T_N = 68$  K, 58 K, 64 K, 107 K, 94 K, 52 K and 29 K, respectively.

**Resonant X-ray diffraction.** All experiments were conducted by fulfilling the Bragg condition for the (001) magnetic reflection using incoming photon energies near the Ln ion's respective dominant M edge:  $M_4$  for Pr, Nd and Sm ( $3d_{5/2} \rightarrow 4f$ ); and  $M_5$  for Gd, Tb, Dy and Ho ( $3d_{5/2} \rightarrow 4f$ ). The spectral shapes of the edges are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. From the width of the (001) reflection around these edges, we estimate effective probe depths of ~4 nm for Ln = Gd and Sm, ~5 nm for Tb and ~7 nm for Pr, Nd, Dy and Ho. All experiments were conducted with  $\sigma$ -polarized incoming X-ray light, such that only the  $\sigma \rightarrow \pi'$  scattering channel contributes to the magnetic signal. For all materials except GdRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, the observed dynamics and temperature dependences do not depend on the azimuthal orientation of the sample around the surface normal, so that the ordered moment  $m$  in Figs. 1 and 2 is extracted from diffracted intensity as  $m \propto \sqrt{I}$  (Supplementary Section 3). The procedure for extracting  $m$  from GdRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is detailed elsewhere<sup>10</sup>.

Equilibrium resonant X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted at beamline X11MA of the Swiss Light Source<sup>32</sup> using the RESOX end station<sup>33</sup>, and at the PM3 beamline at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin. Time-resolved resonant X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted in an ultrahigh vacuum scattering chamber using ultrashort X-ray pulses from the femto-slicing facility at beamline UE56/1-ZPM (ref. 14) at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin. The zone plate monochromators used in this experiment provide an energy resolution typically of ~5 eV (Supplementary Fig. 1). Data for GdRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is taken from a previously reported experiment<sup>10</sup>.

The pump–probe experimental scheme was conducted at 3 kHz using 1.55 eV (800 nm)  $p$ -polarized pump pulses. The X-ray repetition rate is 6 kHz such that between every pumped event an unpumped signal is recorded, and no average heating was observed for the presented data. X-ray intensities were collected using an avalanche photodiode, in a scheme allowing for single-photon counting. As such, the error bars in Fig. 2a–c are taken as  $\Delta I = \sqrt{I}$ . Reciprocal space scans (as in Fig. 2a) showed no peak broadening or shifting, so only the peak heights were collected in time traces. The X-ray and 1.55 eV pulses arrive nearly collinearly, but the avalanche photodiode does not collect the pump photons, as they are filtered by an Al foil. The X-ray spot size was always smaller than the pump spot but inevitably varied between experiments on different samples because the experiments were conducted in the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin user facility over several years. To overcome this, at the beginning of every experiment, a sample from the previous experiment was remeasured. The laser excitation was then adjusted to ensure that the exact same fluence-dependent response was observed as in the previous experiment. Exact values for each experiment are available in Supplementary Section 2.

All demagnetization curves, such as in Fig. 2b,c, were fit to an equation of the form

$$\frac{m(t)}{m_0} = 1 - \Theta(t) \left( d_{\text{fast}} \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{fast}}} \right) + d_{\text{slow}} \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{slow}}} \right) \right), \quad (1)$$

in which  $\Theta$  is the Heaviside function,  $d_{\text{fast/slow}}$  is the demagnetization amplitude, and  $\tau_{\text{fast/slow}}$  is the exponential time constant associated with the slow/fast process. The temporal resolution is estimated at 120 fs. To account for this, fits to equation (1) were conducted with a convolved Gaussian response function of 120 fs width. For the case of Ln = Dy and Ho, a subpicosecond contribution was not observed, so  $d_{\text{fast}}$  was set to 0. Error bars in Figs. 2d,e and 3a represent standard deviations of these fit results.

The critical fluence  $F_C$  is defined for each material as the fluence at which the total observed reduction in  $m(t)/m_0$  (that is,  $d_{\text{fast}} + d_{\text{slow}}$ ) reaches an amplitude of 0.5. The values of  $F_C$  are found to scale with  $T_N^{-1}$ , such that  $F_C$  may serve as a measure of an effective transient Weiss field (Supplementary Section 4). Timescales are expected to scale with the square root of such fields (Supplementary Section 5), so the  $\tau_C$  values in the inset of Fig. 2e are calculated as the best fit to  $\tau_{\text{slow}}/\tau_C = \sqrt{F/F_C}$ .

The maximal angular momentum transfer rate is calculated as  $\alpha_x = m_0 d_x \tau_x^{-1}$ , in which  $x$  represents 'fast' or 'slow' from equation (1);  $m_0$  is the ordered  $4f$  moment, which is taken as the theoretical value of  $g\mu_B J$  ( $g$  is the Landé factor,  $\mu_B$  is Bohr's magneton and  $J$  is the total angular momentum) and adjusted according to Fig. 1b, to account for the finite initial temperature.

**Ab initio calculations.** Two independent calculations were done to confirm the theoretical trend in  $j_3$ . The exchange coupling parameters presented in Fig. 3b were calculated using a self-consistent Green's function method<sup>34,35</sup> within density functional theory in a generalized gradient approximation (GGA)<sup>36</sup>.

Strongly localized  $4f$  electrons were treated within the GGA +  $U$  approach<sup>37</sup>. The corresponding effective Hubbard parameter  $U^* = U - J$  was chosen in such a way as to guarantee a good agreement of calculated and experimental Néel temperature. The exchange parameters were estimated using the magnetic force theorem implemented within the multiple scattering theory<sup>38</sup>. For Ln = Sm, Gd and Dy, the free  $z$  parameter of the Si ions' position has not been reported, and the nominal value of 0.375 has been used.

In a second independent calculation, the electronic properties of LnRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> compounds were also calculated using density functional theory, as implemented in the all-electron full-potential fully relativistic electronic structure code RSPt (refs. 39–41) that uses linear muffin-tin orbitals as basis functions. This calculation also confirmed the linear trend of  $j_3$  presented in Fig. 3b. Details of this calculation and a comparison between the two calculations are available in Supplementary Sections 7 and 8.

To confirm the validity of these results, the total energy from the corresponding spin-Hamiltonian was minimized using a Monte Carlo calculation implemented in the simulation package UppASD (ref. 13). At zero temperature, this produced the experimentally observed ground states with in-plane ferromagnetic arrangements and out-of-plane antiferromagnetic arrangements of the magnetic moments.

Our calculations and analysis do not account for the point-ion contribution to the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE), due to the complexity and ambiguity of its calculation from first-principles theory, in particular for lanthanide elements and compounds. This contribution is not expected to affect the results of the theoretical calculations nor to influence the conclusions of this work, because in lanthanides in general, the MAE is small compared to the exchange fields. This can be estimated, for example, from the lanthanide elements, where the crystal field parameters (which determine the MAE) are on the order of 100  $\mu$ eV or lower<sup>5</sup>, which can be compared to the substantially larger exchange parameters calculated here (Supplementary Section 7 for details). Hence, point-ion-driven MAE is not expected to play a major role in determining the AF demagnetization processes discussed above.

## Data availability

All datasets contributing to the results in this work are available on an online repository (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5828162>), including data collected upon equilibrium heating (Fig. 1b) and upon photoexcitation.

## References

- Kliemt, K. et al. Crystal growth of materials with the ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> structure type. *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **55**, 1900116 (2020).
- Flehsig, U. et al. Performance measurements at the SLS SIM beamline. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1234**, 319–322 (2010).
- Staub, U. et al. Polarization analysis in soft X-ray diffraction to study magnetic and orbital ordering. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **15**, 469–476 (2008).
- Geilhufe, M. et al. Numerical solution of the relativistic single-site scattering problem for the Coulomb and the Mathieu potential. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **27**, 435202 (2015).
- Hoffmann, M. et al. Magnetic and electronic properties of complex oxides from first-principles. *Phys. Status Solidi* **257**, 1900671 (2020).
- Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- Anisimov, V. I., Zaanen, J. & Andersen, O. K. Band theory and Mott insulators: Hubbard  $U$  instead of Stoner  $I$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 943–954 (1991).
- Lichtenstein, A. I., Katsnelson, M. I., Antropov, V. P. & Gubanov, V. A. Local spin density functional approach to the theory of exchange interactions in ferromagnetic metals and alloys. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **67**, 65–74 (1987).
- Wills, J. M. & Cooper, B. R. Synthesis of band and model Hamiltonian theory for hybridizing cerium systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **36**, 3809–3823 (1987).
- Wills, J., Eriksson, O., Alouani, M. & Price, D. in *Electronic Structure and Physical Properties of Solids* (ed. Dreyssé, H.) 148–167 (Springer-Verlag, 2000).
- Wills, J. M. et al. *Full-Potential Electronic Structure Method* Vol. 167 (Springer-Verlag, 2010).

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the experimental support of the staff at beamlines UE56/1 (Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin), X11MA (Swiss Light Source) and PM3 (Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin). This work received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG): L.R. acknowledges funding within the Emmy Noether programme under grant no. RE 3977/1; L.R., N.P. and C.S.-L. acknowledge funding within the Transregio TRR 227 Ultrafast Spin Dynamics (Project-ID 328545488, projects A09, B07 and A03); and K.K. and C.K. acknowledge funding within TRR 288–422213477 (Project A03) and within grant no. KR3831/5-1. D.Z. acknowledges funding through the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement number ERC-2015-CoG-682843). D.V.V. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation for support through project PID2020-116093RB-C44, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. D.T. and O.E. acknowledge funding by the Swedish Research Council (VR) through grants no.

2019-03666 and no. 2016-07213, respectively. O.E. also acknowledges financial support by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation through grant no. 2018.0060, by European Research Council synergy grant 854843-FASTCORR, by the Swedish Energy Agency (Energimyndigheten), by the Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF), by eSENCE and by STandUP. The computations and data handling were enabled by resources provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing at the National Supercomputing Centre (Tetralith cluster) partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement no. 2016-07213. We thank D. Iușan for her assistance with the RSPt code and E. Delczeg for performing test calculations on  $\text{TbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  using the SPR-KKR code in the early stages of this work. A.E. acknowledges funding by Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF) grant I 5384. Part of the calculations were performed at Rechenzentrum Garching of the Max Planck Society (Germany).

### Author contributions

L.R. and D.V.V. conceived the project. K.K. and C.K. grew the crystals. Equilibrium resonant X-ray diffraction experiments were performed by Y.W.W., S.-E.L., D.Z., U.S. and L.R., and analysed by Y.W.W. Time-resolved resonant X-ray diffraction experiments were performed by Y.W.W., S.-E.L., D.Z., C.S.-L., N.P. and L.R., and analysed by Y.W.W. First-principles calculations were done by A.E., V.B., D.T. and O.E. Interpretation was

done by Y.W.W. and L.R. The manuscript was written by Y.W.W. and L.R. All authors contributed to discussion and revision of the manuscript to its final version.

### Funding

Open access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-022-01206-4>.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Y. W. Windsor or L. Rettig.

**Peer review information** *Nature Materials* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).